

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/488,942	01/21/2000		Paul W. Sherer	09764-003531US	5139
7:	90 09/29/2005			EXAM	INER
WAGNER MURABITO & HAO LLP				DINH, DUNG C	
TWO NORTH	MARKET STREET				
THIRD FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95113				2152	

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 4	Law Backen Na	I Applicant(a)				
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/488,942	SHERER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
T. MAUDIO DATE SAlinggraphics and	Dung Dinh	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the communication is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the communication is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the communication is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the communication is specified above.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron . cause the application to become ABANDONS	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
<u>_</u>	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Closed in accordance with the practice under E	.x parte Quayle, 1905 O.D. 11, 4					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/15/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued that the teaching of the Am79C830 publication can not be used in an Ethernet host interface because FDDI and Ethernet are mutually exclusive technology. The argument is not persuasive because Applicant has not show why the buffering and interrupt feature of the Am79C830 can not be used in an Ethernet host adapter. Although the Am79C830 is designed for use with FDDI network, the buffering and interrupt features of the Am79C830 is for communication between the host computer and the network interface. This is separate from the particular circuitry for transmitting to/receiving from the network. Hence, the buffering and interrupt feature of the Am79C830 is conceptually independent of the underlying network physical layer. Nothing in the references cited suggest that the buffering and interrupt feature of the Am79C830 can not be used in an Ethernet adapter. But the Am79C830 publication specifically discloses the advantage of the buffering and early interrupt feature. [page 2-37, col.1 "Threshold Detection" paragraph]. Kalwitz discloses that FDDI and Ethernet are network technology. Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2152

art to adapt the advantage features in the host interface design of either type of network to each other.

Applicant argued that the competitive and distinctive nature of the two networks teaches away from combining features of each network. The argument is not persuasive because the rejection is NOT based on combining/replacing certain features of FDDI network to the Ethernet network. The rejection is based on providing a certain feature in the network host adapter; not modifying the underlying network protocol. The buffering and interrupt feature is for improving the interfacing the network adapter to the host computer. There in nothing to suggest, and applicant has not provide any evidence, that the buffering and host interrupt feature of the Am79C830 chip is applicable only in FDDI network or that this advantage feature will not work in an Ethernet host adapter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Art Unit: 2152

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over AMD's Am79C830 FORMAC Plus as disclosed in "The SUPERNET 2 family for FDDI - 1991/1992 World Network Data Book" (the publication) (Prior art submitted by applicant in parent application file 09/028,088), and further in view of Metcalfe et al. US patent 4,063,220 and Kalwitz US patent 5,696,899.

As per claim 18, the publication discloses a communication adapter with transceiver having transmit buffer, receive buffer, and control circuitry [figure on page 2-4]. The publication discloses readout of a frame while it is being received to reduces delay in waiting for a complete frame [page 2-37, col.1 "Threshold Detection" paragraph]. The publication discloses interrupt circuitry [page 2-36, col.2 "Node Processor (NP) Interface"]. publication discloses early receive interrupt once a predetermined number of bytes [threshold] of data packet less than all of said data packet has been received [Apparent from page 2-32 col.1 "INNTERRUPTS. The interrupt signals MINTR1 or MINTR2 ... are asserted when FORMAC Plus status changes", page 2-52 col.2 "The receive frames are loaded into the buffer memory ... for singleframe receive mode ... The RDATA timing depends upon the receive threshold value...", page 2-64 bottom of col.1 "the ST2 register

Art Unit: 2152

contains status bit that may generate maskable interrupts on the MINTR2 pin", bottom of col.2 "Receive Frame. RSCVRM (bit10) - This bit is set, during single-frame receive-mode operation, to interrupt the NP and indicate that data is present in the buffer memory"].

The publication does not teach Ethernet control circuitry and host adapter interface. The publication teaches the chip for use in an FDDI network. However, specific type of network (Ethernet vs. FDDI) would have been an obvious variation from the teaching of the publication. Ethernet and token ring such as FDDI are notoriously well known in the art at the time of the invention and can often be used together. (See Kalwitz col.11 lines 1-8). Ethernet is a popular protocol for a local area network. The publication discloses that providing programmable threshold allows for early notification yielding absolute minimum frame latencies. (see page 1-2). Hence, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the network adapter art to adapt the features of the AM79C830 chip disclosed in the publication to an Ethernet adapter because it would have provided the equivalent improvement to the processing of Ethernet data packets. The Ethernet control circuitry and host interface are well known to one of ordinary skill in the art of network adapter design. (See the Metcalfe's Ethernet patent 4,063,220). Hence, in applying the features of

Art Unit: 2152

the AM79C830 chip to an Ethernet network adapter, it is expected and is well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Ethernet control circuitry and host interface.

As per claim 19, The AM79C830 is contained in a single application specific integrated circuit (ASIC). The publication does not specifically teach Ethernet control circuitry. However, it would have obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the early interrupt to a Ethernet control circuitry because it would have reduces delay in waiting for a complete Ethernet frame thereby provided an improved Ethernet network adapter.

As per claim 20, the publication discloses the threshold is programmable [page 2-97 "Frame Threshold Register - FRMTHR"].

As per claim 21, the publication discloses the circuit is programmable to generating a packet transmit signal when the buffer contains a predetermined number of bytes [page 2-98 col.1 "Transmit Threshold. XTHR"].

Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over the Am79C830 publication, Kalwitz, and further in view of Bentley et al. patent 4,860,193.

As per claims 22, the publication discloses method of transferring a packet of data comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 09/488,942
Art Unit: 2152

- a) receiving from the communication media and storing in a receive buffer a first threshold number of bytes of the packet [page 2-37, col.1 "Threshold Detection"];
- b) thereupon generating a first early interrupt from the adapter to the host computer [apparent from page 2-52 col.2 "The receive frames are loaded into the buffer memory ... for single-frame receive mode ... The RDATA timing depends upon the receive threshold value..."; page 2-64 bottom of col.1 "the ST2 register contains status bit that may generate maskable interrupts on the MINTR2 pin"; bottom of col.2 "Receive Frame. RSCVRM (bit10) This bit is set, during single-frame receive-mode operation, to interrupt the NP and indicate that data is present in the buffer memory"];
- c) thereafter receiving from the communication media and storing in the receive buffer a remainder of the packet [page 2-37, col.1 "Threshold Detection" "...read out of the frame can then take place at the same time that the frame is being written"].

The publication does not specifically disclose the host employing a driver allowing for early indication. It is well known in the art to employ network driver to facilitate communication to a network adapter. (See Kalwitz figs 6 and 7, col.27 lines 8-12). The function of a driver is to provide

Art Unit: 2152

software interface to the hardware so that changes to hardware only need changes to the driver; not to the rest of the computer system. Hence, it would have been obvious that a system having a network adapter using the AM79C830 chip would have had a driver for interfacing this network adapter to the host computer. Since one of the advantages of the AM79C830 chip the programmable early notification (see publication page 1-2), it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a look ahead size (e.g. a threshold value) with the driver because it would have enabled the driver to set and control the early notification so as to make full use of the AM79C830 capabilities.

The publication does not specifically teach adjusting the threshold. However, the publication discloses the threshold is programmable (see page 1-2). Furthermore, in similar field of invention, Bentley teaches adjusting the buffer threshold according to previous data block length to better adapt the buffer to the data length so as to reduce latency (see Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the threshold value based on packet length of received packet because it would have enabled the system to maintain data transfer rate at an optimal value.

Application/Control Number: 09/488,942 Art Unit: 2152

Claims 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Am79C830 publication, Kalwitz, Bentley and further in view of Firoozman US patent 5,210,749.

As per claim 23, the publication does not disclose determining the threshold value based on the latency of the host computer and the network. In similar field of invention, Firoozman teaches determining the threshold value based on the latency of the host computer and the network (col.14 lines 55-64). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use Firoozman teaching with the Am79C830 publication because Firoozman teaches an improvement on the application of the Am79C830 chip (see col.1 lines 29-37).

As per claim 24, the amount of data in the buffer over the threshold is the affect of the interrupt latency (i.e. the amount of data being put into the buffer while the host computer is processing the early interrupt). Hence, it would have been obvious to take into account the amount of data in the buffer after the early interrupt was generated so as to adjust the threshold to compensate for the interrupt latency.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2152

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (571) 272-3949.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Art Unit: 2152

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner

September 26, 2005